arXiv:1612.03660v1 [math.FA] 12 Dec 2016

A necessary condition for certain functions to preserve positive semi-definiteness on partitioned matrices

Lutz Klotz Conrad Mädler

December 13, 2016

If f is a symmetric complex-valued function on the m-fold Cartesian product of the set of non-negative reals and A is a positive semi-definite $m \times m$ matrix with eigenvalues λ_j, we set $f(A) := f(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$. It is shown that if $[f(A_{\alpha\beta})]$ is positive semi-definite whenever $[A_{\alpha\beta}]$ is a positive semi-definite matrix with positive semi-definite entries $A_{\alpha\beta}$, then f has a power series expansion with positive coefficients.

Keywords Positive semi-definite matrix, symmetric function, matrix function

AMS Classification 15A15, 15B57

The symbols $\mathbb{N}$, $\mathbb{Z}_+$, $\mathbb{R}$, $\mathbb{R}_+$, and $\mathbb{C}$ stand for the set of positive integers, non-negative integers, real, non-negative real and complex numbers, resp. Let $m, n \in \mathbb{N}$. If S is a subset of the algebra of all $m \times m$ matrices with complex entries, let $M_n(S)$ denote the set of all $n \times n$ matrices with entries from S and $M_n^{\geq}(S)$ the set of all positive semi-definite matrices of $M_n(S)$. If $S = M_1 = \mathbb{C}$, we shall write $M_n(S) := M_n$ and $M_n^{\geq}(S) := M_n^{\geq}$. For a matrix $A \in M_m$, let λ_j, $j \in \{1, \ldots, m\}$, denote the eigenvalues of A counted according to their algebraic multiplicity and $\det A$ its determinant. The vectors of $\mathbb{C}^m$ are written as row vectors. If $[z_1, \ldots, z_m] \in \mathbb{C}^m$, denote by $\operatorname{diag}(z_1, \ldots, z_m)$ the diagonal matrix with elements $z_1, \ldots, z_m$ on its principal diagonal. The symbol I_m stands for the unit matrix of M_m

If $f\colon \mathbb{C}^m \to \mathbb{C}$ is a symmetric function, i. e. if $f(z_1, \ldots, z_m) = f(z_{\pi(1)}, \ldots, z_{\pi(m)})$ for all $[z_1, \ldots, z_m] \in \mathbb{C}^m$ and all permutations π of the set $\{1, \ldots, m\}$, we define $f(A) := f(\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_m)$, $A \in M_m$, according to the paper [10]. The function f is called *to preserve positive semi-definiteness on* $M_n^{\geq}(S)$ if $[f(A_{\alpha\beta})] \in M_n^{\geq}$ whenever $[A_{\alpha\beta}] \in M_n^{\geq}(S)$. It seems to be a difficult problem to characterize all functions preserving positive semi-definiteness on $M_n^{\geq}(M_m)$ for given $n > 2$. If f is a polynomial, it was solved in [1, Thm. 1.1]. On the other hand, it is not hard to describe all functions preserving positive semi-definiteness on $M_2^{\geq}(M_m)$, cf. [9, Prop. 1 and Thm. 2]. As its consequence we can state the following result.

Lemma 1. *Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+^m \to \mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric function which preserves positive semi-definiteness on $M_2^{\geq}(M_m^{\geq})$. Then f is non-negative, increases with respect to each variable and is continuous.*

Proof. The first two properties of f can be obtained easily. Since f satisfies the inequality $f(x_1y_1,\dots,x_my_m)^2 \leq f(x_1,\dots,x_m)f(y_1,\dots,y_m)$ for all $[x_1,\dots,x_m],[y_1,\dots,y_m] \in \mathbb{R}_+^m$, cf. [9, Prop. 1], its continuity can be shown by a straightforward modification of the proof of the conclusion (a)⇒(b) of [6, Lem. 2.1]. □

We shall say that a symmetric function $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+^m \to \mathbb{C}$ *preserves positive semi-definiteness* if it preserves positive semi-definiteness on $M_n^{\geq}(M_m^{\geq})$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In the case $m = 1$, the problem to describe all functions preserving positive semi-definiteness and some related problems have a long history and were completely solved by several authors applying different methods, cf. [2–7, 12–14]. A well known result is stated in Thm. 2.

Theorem 2. *A function $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{C}$ preserves positive semi-definiteness if and only if it has a power series expansion $f(x) = \sum_{j=0}^{\infty} a_j x^j$, $x \in \mathbb{R}_+$, where $a_j \in \mathbb{R}_+$, $j \in \mathbb{Z}_+$.*

Our Thm. 5 below generalizes the "only-if" part of the preceding theorem to arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{N}$.

For $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, denote by K_p the set of all $[p_1,\dots,p_m] \in \mathbb{Z}_+^m$ such that $\sum_{\alpha=1}^m p_\alpha \leq p$. If $[p_1,\dots,p_m] \in K_p$ and $a_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, $\beta \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, are positive real numbers, let $v_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]}$ be a vector of $\mathbb{R}_+^m$, whose β-th entry equals $\prod_{\alpha=1}^m a_{\alpha\beta}^{p_\alpha}$.

Lemma 3. *Let $m \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, and $n := (p+2)^m$. There exist positive real numbers $a_{\alpha\beta}$, $\alpha \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, $\beta \in \{1,\dots,n\}$, such that the corresponding vectors $v_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]}$, $[p_1,\dots,p_m] \in K_p$, are linearly independent.*

Proof. For $n = (p+2)^m$, let $a_{1\beta}$ be n pairwise different positive real numbers and $a_{\alpha\beta} := a_{1\beta}^{(p+2)^{\alpha-1}}$, $\alpha \in \{1,\dots,m\}$, $\beta \in \{1,\dots,n\}$. It is easy to see that for $[p_1,\dots,p_m] \in K_p$, the transpose of the corresponding vector $v_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]}$ is a column vector of the Vandermonde matrix $[a_{1\beta}^{\gamma-1}]_{\beta,\gamma=1,\dots,n}$. To finish the proof it is enough to show that $v_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]} \neq v_{[q_1,\dots,q_m]}$ if $[p_1,\dots,p_m] \neq [q_1,\dots,q_m]$, $[p_1,\dots,p_m],[q_1,\dots,q_m] \in K_p$. However, the equality $[p_1,\dots,p_m] = [q_1,\dots,q_m]$ would imply that $p+2$ is a zero of the polynomial P: $P(z) = \sum_{\alpha=1}^m (p_\alpha - q_\alpha) z^\alpha$, $z \in \mathbb{C}$, which is a contradiction to the estimate $|z_0| \leq \max\{1+|p_\alpha - q_\alpha| \colon \alpha \in \{1,\dots,m\}\}$ for any zero z_0 of P, cf. [8, Problem 5.6.26]. □

In the case $m = 1$ the result of the next lemma was proved by Horn, cf. the first part of the proof of [7, Thm. 1.1]. In 1979 Vasudeva [14] published a simplified proof, which can be easily adapted to the case that m is an arbitrary positive integer.

Lemma 4. *Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+^m \to \mathbb{R}_+$ be a symmetric function, which has continuous partial derivatives of arbitrary order. If f preserves positive semi-definiteness, then all its partial derivatives are non-negative.*

Proof. Let $[x_1,\dots,x_m]\in\mathbb{R}_+^m$ and $n\in\mathbb{N}$. If $a_{\alpha\beta}$ are positive real numbers and $t_\alpha\in\mathbb{R}_+^n$, $\alpha\in\{1,\dots,m\}$, $\beta\in\{1,\dots,n\}$, the matrix $[\mathrm{diag}(x_1+t_1a_{1\beta}a_{1\gamma},\dots,x_m+t_ma_{m\beta}a_{m\gamma})]_{\beta,\gamma=1,\dots,n}$ belongs to $M_n^{\geqslant}(M_m^{\geqslant})$. From the assumption on f it follows

$$\sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n}\overline{z_\beta}z_\gamma f(x_1+t_1a_{1\beta}a_{1\gamma},\dots,x_m+t_ma_{m\beta}a_{m\gamma})\geq 0,\qquad [z_1,\dots,z_m]\in\mathbb{C}^m. \tag{1}$$

Expanding the function $g_{\beta\gamma}\colon\mathbb{R}_+^m\to\mathbb{R}_+$, $g_{\beta\gamma}(t_1,\dots,t_m):=f(x_1+t_1a_{1\beta}a_{1\gamma},\dots,x_m+t_ma_{m\beta}a_{m\gamma})$ into a Taylor polynomial of degree $p-1$, from (1) we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n}\overline{z_\beta}z_\gamma\Bigg\{&\sum_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]\in K_{p-1}}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m}\frac{1}{p_\alpha!}(t_\alpha a_{\alpha\beta}a_{\alpha\gamma})^{p_\alpha}\frac{\partial^{p_1+\dots+p_m}}{\partial t_1^{p_1}\cdots\partial t_m^{p_m}}g_{\beta\gamma}(t_1,\dots,t_m)\\&+\sum_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]\in K_p\setminus K_{p-1}}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m}\frac{1}{p_\alpha!}(t_\alpha a_{\alpha\beta}a_{\alpha\gamma})^{p_\alpha}\\&\times\frac{\partial^{p_1+\dots+p_m}}{\partial t_1^{p_1}\cdots\partial t_m^{p_m}}f(x_1+\theta_{\beta\gamma}t_1a_{1\beta}a_{1\gamma},\dots,x_m+\theta_{\beta\gamma}t_ma_{m\beta}a_{m\gamma})\Bigg\}\geq 0,\end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

where $\theta_{\beta\gamma}\in[0,1]$, $\beta,\gamma\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. Let $[q_1,\dots,q_m]$ be an arbitrary element of $K_p\setminus K_{p-1}$. From Lem. 3 it follows that for $n=(p+2)^m$, the positive numbers $a_{\alpha\beta}$ can be chosen in such a way that there exist real numbers z_β satisfying the system of linear equations $\sum_{\beta=1}^n\prod_{\alpha=1}^m a_{\alpha\beta}^{p_\alpha}z_\beta=0$ for $[p_1,\dots,p_m]\in K_p\setminus\{[q_1,\dots,q_m]\}$ and $\sum_{\beta=1}^n\prod_{\alpha=1}^m a_{\alpha\beta}^{q_\alpha}z_\beta=1$. Therefore, (2) implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\prod_{\alpha=1}^{m}\frac{1}{q_\alpha!}(t_\alpha)^{q_\alpha}\sum_{\beta,\gamma=1}^{n}\prod_{\alpha'=1}^{m}\overline{z_\beta}z_\gamma(a_{\alpha'\beta}a_{\alpha'\gamma})^{q_{\alpha'}}\\\times\frac{\partial^{q_1+\dots+q_m}}{\partial t_1^{q_1}\cdots\partial t_m^{q_m}}f(x_1+\theta_{\beta\gamma}t_1a_{1\beta}a_{1\gamma},\dots,x_m+\theta_{\beta\gamma}t_ma_{m\beta}a_{m\gamma})\geq 0,\end{aligned}$$

which yields $\frac{\partial^{q_1+\dots+q_m}}{\partial x_1^{q_1}\cdots\partial x_m^{q_m}}f(x_1,\dots,x_m)\geq 0$ by letting $[t_1,\dots,t_m]$ tend to $[0,\dots,0]$. □

Theorem 5. *Let $f\colon\mathbb{R}_+^m\to\mathbb{R}_+$ be a symmetric function preserving positive semi-definiteness. Then f has a power series expansion*

$$\begin{aligned}f(x_1,\dots,x_m)=\sum_{[p_1,\dots,p_m]\in\mathbb{Z}_+^m}a_{p_1,\dots,p_m}x_1^{p_1}\cdots x_m^{p_m},\\[x_1,\dots,x_m]\in\mathbb{R}_+^m,a_{p_1,\dots,p_m}\in\mathbb{R}_+,[p_1,\dots,p_m]\in\mathbb{Z}_+^m.\end{aligned} \tag{3}$$

Proof. Let $\psi\colon\mathbb{R}\to\mathbb{R}_+$ have support on $(-1,0)$, continuous partial derivatives of arbitrary order and satisfy $\int_{\mathbb{R}}\psi(t)\mathrm{d}t=1$. Define $\phi(t_1,\dots,t_m):=\prod_{j=1}^m\psi(t_j)$, $[t_1,\dots,t_m]\in\mathbb{R}^m$, and then for arbitrary $\epsilon\in(0,\infty)$ the functions $\phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{t}):=\phi(\mathbf{t}/\epsilon)$, $\mathbf{t}\in\mathbb{R}^m$, and

$$f_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}):=\frac{1}{\epsilon^m}\int_{\mathbb{R}^m}f(\mathbf{t})\phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{x}-\mathbf{t})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t}=\int_{(-1,0)^m}f(\mathbf{x}-\epsilon\mathbf{t})\phi_\epsilon(\mathbf{t})\mathrm{d}\mathbf{t},\qquad\mathbf{x}\in\mathbb{R}^m. \tag{4}$$

The function f_ϵ is symmetric, non-negative, and has continuous partial derivatives of arbitrary order. The integral at the right-hand side of (4) is the limit of the integral sums of the form $\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} f(x_1-\epsilon\xi_j,\dots,x_m-\epsilon\xi_j)\phi(\xi_j)(t_{j+1}-t_j)^m$, where $-1=t_1<t_2<\dots<t_r=0$ is a partition of the interval $[-1,0]$ and $\xi_j\in[t_j,t_{j+1}]$, $j\in\{1,\dots,r-1\}$. If $[A_{\alpha\beta}]\in M_n^{\geq}(M_m^{\geq})$, then $[A_{\alpha\beta}-\epsilon\xi_j I_m]\in M_n^{\geq}(M_m^{\geq})$ and $\lambda_k(A_{\alpha\beta}-\epsilon\xi_j I_m)=\lambda_k(A_{\alpha\beta})-\epsilon\xi_j$, hence $[\sum_{j=1}^{r-1} f(\lambda_1(A_{\alpha\beta})-\epsilon\xi_j,\dots,\lambda_m(A_{\alpha\beta})-\epsilon\xi_j)]_{\alpha,\beta=1,\dots,n}$ belongs to $M_n^{\geq}$ by assumption on f, $j\in\{1,\dots,r-1\}$. It follows $[f_\epsilon(A_{\alpha\beta})]\in M_n^{\geq}$, and from Lem. 4 we can conclude that all finite differences of f_ϵ are non-negative, cf. [11, p. 260]. Since f is the pointwise limit of f_ϵ if ϵ tends to 0, all finite differences of f are non-negative. By [11, Thm. 8.6] the representation (3) follows. □

We conclude our paper with an application of the preceding result.

Theorem 6. *Let $f\colon \mathbb{R}_+^m\to\mathbb{C}$ be a symmetric function. The following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) The function f has a power series expansion

$$f(x_1,\dots,x_m)=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(x_1\cdots x_m)^j,\quad [x_1,\dots,x_m]\in\mathbb{R}_+^m, b_j\in\mathbb{R}_+, j\in\mathbb{Z}_+. \tag{5}$$

(ii) For all $n\in\mathbb{N}$ and all $[A_{\alpha\beta}],[B_{\alpha\beta}]\in M_n^{\geq}(M_m)$ such that $[A_{\alpha\beta}B_{\alpha\beta}]\in M_n(M_m^{\geq})$, the matrix $[f(A_{\alpha\beta}B_{\alpha\beta})]$ is positive semi-definite.

Proof. (i)⇒(ii): Assume that f has the form (5). Then $f(A_{\alpha\beta}B_{\alpha\beta})=\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} b_j(\det A_{\alpha\beta}\det B_{\alpha\beta})^j$ and the assertion (ii) is a simple consequence of Schur's theorem and the well-known fact that $[\det A_{\alpha\beta}]\in M_n^{\geq}$ if $A_{\alpha\beta}\in M_n^{\geq}(M_m)$.

(ii)⇒(i): Let $B_{\alpha\beta}:=I_m$, $\alpha,\beta\in\{1,\dots,n\}$. Since $[B_{\alpha\beta}]\in M_n^{\geq}(M_m)$ and $[f(A_{\alpha\beta}B_{\alpha\beta})]=[f(A_{\alpha\beta})]$, from Thm. 5 it follows that f has a power series expansion (3). Therefore, to prove (i) it is enough to show that $f(x_1,\dots,x_{m-1},0)=f(0,\dots,0)$ for all $[x_1,\dots,x_{m-1}]\in\mathbb{R}_+^{m-1}$. We can assume that f is not a constant function. Let $r\in\{1,\dots,m\}$ be the smallest number such that there exists $[x_1,\dots,x_r]\in\mathbb{R}_+^r$ satisfying $f(x_1^2,\dots,x_r^2,0,\dots,0)\neq f(0,\dots,0)$. Since the coefficients of the power series expansion (3) are non-negative, we get

$$f(x_1^2,\dots,x_r^2,0,\dots,0)>f(0,\dots,0). \tag{6}$$

For $\epsilon\in(0,\infty)$, define $A_{11}^{(\epsilon)}=B_{22}^{(\epsilon)}:=\operatorname{diag}(x_1,\dots,x_r,\epsilon,\dots,\epsilon)$, $A_{22}^{(\epsilon)}=B_{11}^{(\epsilon)}:=\operatorname{diag}(\epsilon,\dots,\epsilon,x_r,\dots,x_1)$, $A_{12}^{(\epsilon)}=B_{21}^{(\epsilon)}:=\operatorname{diag}(x_1,\dots,x_r,\epsilon,\dots,\epsilon)J$, $A_{21}^{(\epsilon)}=B_{12}^{(\epsilon)}:=\operatorname{diag}(\epsilon,\dots,\epsilon,x_r,\dots,x_1)$, where $J:=\begin{bmatrix}0 & & 1\\ & \iddots & \\ 1 & & 0\end{bmatrix}$. Then $[A_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)}],[B_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)}]\in M_2^{\geq}(M_m)$. If $1\leq r\leq m/2$, we obtain $f(A_{11}^{(\epsilon)}B_{11}^{(\epsilon)})=f(A_{22}^{(\epsilon)}B_{22}^{(\epsilon)})=f(\epsilon x_1,\dots,\epsilon x_r,\epsilon x_r,\dots,\epsilon x_1,0,\dots,0)$ and $f(A_{12}^{(\epsilon)}B_{12}^{(\epsilon)})=f(A_{21}^{(\epsilon)}B_{21}^{(\epsilon)})=f(x_1^2,\dots,x_r^2,\epsilon^2,\dots,\epsilon^2)$. If ϵ is small enough, from (6) and the continuity of f it follows $\det[f(A_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)}B_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)})]<0$ contradicting (ii). If $m/2<r\leq m-1$, one obtains

$f(A_{11}^{(\epsilon)}B_{11}^{(\epsilon)}) = f(A_{22}^{(\epsilon)}B_{22}^{(\epsilon)}) = f(x_{m-r+1}x_r, \ldots, x_r x_{m-r+1}, \epsilon x_1, \ldots, \epsilon x_{m-r}, \epsilon x_{m-r}, \ldots, \epsilon x_1)$ and $f(A_{12}^{(\epsilon)}B_{12}^{(\epsilon)}) = f(A_{21}^{(\epsilon)}B_{21}^{(\epsilon)}) = f(x_1^2, \ldots, x_r^2, \epsilon^2, \ldots, \epsilon^2)$. Since $r \leq m-1$ yields $2r - m \leq r - 1$ and $f(y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}, 0, \ldots, 0) = f(0, \ldots, 0)$ for all $[y_1, \ldots, y_{r-1}] \in \mathbb{R}_+^{r-1}$, it follows $f(x_{m-r+1}x_r, \ldots, x_r x_{m-r+1}, 0, \ldots, 0) = f(0, \ldots, 0)$ and we again arrive at the contradiction $\det[f(A_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)}B_{\alpha\beta}^{(\epsilon)})] < 0$ if ϵ is small enough. □

Acknowledgement We are exceptionally grateful to Professor Fuzhen Zhang for pointing out Vasudeva's paper to us.

References

[1] A. Belton, D. Guillot, A. Khare, and M. Putinar. Matrix positivity preservers in fixed dimension. I. `arXiv:1504.07674v4 [math.CA]`, Apr. 2016.

[2] C. Berg, J. P. R. Christensen, and P. Ressel. *Harmonic analysis on semigroups*, volume 100 of *Graduate Texts in Mathematics*. Springer-Verlag, New York, 1984. Theory of positive definite and related functions.

[3] J. P. R. Christensen and P. Ressel. Functions operating on positive definite matrices and a theorem of Schoenberg. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 243:89–95, 1978.

[4] C. S. Herz. Fonctions opérant sur certains semi-groupes. *C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris*, 255:2046–2048, 1962.

[5] C. S. Herz. Fonctions opérant sur les fonctions définies-positives. *Ann. Inst. Fourier (Grenoble)*, 13:161–180, 1963.

[6] F. Hiai. Monotonicity for entrywise functions of matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 431(8):1125–1146, 2009.

[7] R. A. Horn. The theory of infinitely divisible matrices and kernels. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 136:269–286, 1969.

[8] R. A. Horn and C. R. Johnson. *Matrix analysis*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, second edition, 2013.

[9] L. Klotz and C. Mädler. Some functions preserving positive semidefiniteness of 2×2 block matrices. *Linear Algebra Appl.*, 507:68–76, 2016.

[10] M. Marcus and S. M. Katz. Matrices of Schur functions. *Duke Math. J.*, 36:343–352, 1969.

[11] P. Ressel. Higher order monotonic functions of several variables. *Positivity*, 18(2):257–285, 2014.

[12] W. Rudin. Positive definite sequences and absolutely monotonic functions. *Duke Math. J*, 26:617–622, 1959.

[13] I. J. Schoenberg. Positive definite functions on spheres. *Duke Math. J.*, 9:96–108, 1942.

[14] H. Vasudeva. Positive definite matrices and absolutely monotonic functions. *Indian J. Pure Appl. Math.*, 10(7):854–858, 1979.

Universität Leipzig
Fakultät für Mathematik und Informatik
PF 10 09 20
D-04009 Leipzig

klotz@math.uni-leipzig.de
maedler@math.uni-leipzig.de